

feedback/corrections: vibha@pesu.pes.edu

<u>Vibha Masti</u>



- Test assumptions regarding population parameters and assess the plausibilities of those hypotheses
- Hypothesis testing tests the plausibility of a given null hypothesis (assumed to be true) and decides whether or not the null should be rejected
- Alternate hypothesis is a proposed hypothesis which is taken to be true when the null is rejected
- Ho: null hypothesis sample observations by chance
   Hi: alternate hypothesis influenced by non-random cause
- · Hypothesis testing produces a number between 0 and 1
- Methods
  - ) Rejection region find critical point
  - 2) P-value calculate p-value
- Assume Ho is true and find p-value of test statistic. Use
   p-value as strength of evidence against Ho.

p-value is probability that test statistic falls in that range given that Ho is true





**6**. A scale is to be calibrated by weighing a 1000 g test weight 60 times.

The 60 scale readings have mean 1000.6 g and standard deviation 2 g.

Find the P-value for testing

 $H_0: \mu = 1000 \quad \forall s \quad H_1: \mu \neq 1000 , \alpha = 0.05$ 

$$n=60 \quad S=2 \quad \frac{S}{10} = \frac{2}{160} = 0.3582$$

$$999.4 \quad 1000.6$$

$$Z-Score = \frac{1000.6-1000}{0.3582} = 2.32$$

$$1000$$

area = 0.9898

 $p-value = 2 \times (1-0.9898) = 0.0204 < x$ 

Reject	Ho.
--------	-----

**Qa.** The mean lifetime of a sample of 100 fluorescent light bulbs produced by a company is computed to be 1570 hours with a standard deviation of 120 hours.

If  $\mu$  is the life time of all the bulbs produced by the company test the hypothesis  $\mu = 1600$  against the alternate hypothesis  $\mu \neq 1600$ 

$$\mu = |570 \quad s = |20 \quad n = |00 \quad s = |2$$

$$H_0: \ \mu = |600$$

$$H_1: \ \mu \neq |600$$

$$Z = \frac{|570 - |600}{|2} = -2.5$$

$$Qrea = 0.0062$$

$$p-value = 2 \times 0.0062$$

$$= 0.0|24$$

2.5

Q3. A trucking firm is suspicious of the claim that the average lifetime of certain tires is at least 28,000 miles.
To check the claim, the firm puts 40 of these tires on its trucks and gets a mean lifetime of 27,463 with a standard deviation 1,348 miles.
Find the P value for testing

 $H_0: \mu \ge 28000$  miles  $H_1: \mu < 28000$  miles

n = 40 X = 27463 S = 1348 S = 1348 = 213.1375

 $Z = \frac{27463 - 28000}{213 \cdot 1375} = -2.52$ 

P(2<-2.52) = 0.0059 = 0.59.1.

P ( ~ =) reject Ho

Statistically SIGNIFICANT-

- If p-value is less than a particular threshold, it is said to be statistically significant at that level
- If P S 0.05, result is statistically significant at the 5% level and null hypothesis is rejected

**8**<sup>4</sup>. Mice with an average life span of 32 months will live up to 40 months when fed by a certain nutrious food.

If 64 mice fed on this diet have an average life span of 38 months and standard deviation of 5.8 months.

Is there any reason to believe that the average life span is less than 40 months?

$$n = -64 \quad x = 38 \quad s = 5.8 \quad \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{5.8}{8} = 0.725$$

$$H_0: \quad \mu \ge 40$$

$$H_1: \quad \mu < 40$$

$$z = 38 - 40 \quad = -2.76$$

$$0.725$$

$$p = 0.002 - 9 = 0.29 - 7.$$

$$Statistically \quad sig \quad at \quad 1.7.$$

$$Ierel$$

$$\therefore reject \quad H_0$$

6s. The article "Refinement of Gravimetric Geoid Using GPS and Leveling Data" (W. Thurston, Journal of Surveying Engineering, 2000:27–56) presents a method for measuring orthometric heights above sea level. For a sample of 1225 baselines, 926 gave results that were within the class C spirit leveling tolerance limits.

Can we conclude that this method produces results within the tolerance limits more than 75% of the time?

n= 1225	$\hat{p} = \frac{926}{1925} = 0.7559$	$O_{\beta}^{2} = \frac{p(1-p)}{1225} = 1.506 \times 10^{-9}$	
	Ho: p≤0.75		
	$H_{1}: p > 0.75$		
	Z = 0.7559 - 0.75	= 0.4822	
	$\sqrt{I-506\times 10^{-4}}$		
	P(2>0.48) = 1-0.	6844 = 0.3156 > 0.05	
	.: cannot reject H.		

**&6.** If in a random sample of 600 cars making a right turn at a certain traffic junction 157 drove into the wrong lane, test whether actually 30% of all drivers make this mistake or not at this given junction.

ρ=.	157	= 0.2	617			02=	(0.2617)(1-0.2	417)
' (	600			H₀∶	p = 0.3	P	600	
				H':	₽≠0.3	တို =	0.0179	
	Z =-	0.26	7-0.3		2.14			
		0-01	79					
	0-v	alue	= 2×	0.0162	= 0.03 24	= 3.24.1	0+ x=0.05	roject H

Q7. In a random sample of 100 tube lights produced by company A, the mean lifetime (mlt) of tube light is 1190 hours with standard deviation of 90 hours.

Also in a random sample of 75 tube lights from company B the mean lifetime is 1230 hours with standard deviation of 120 hours.

Is there a difference between the mean lifetime of the two brands of tube lights at a significance level of (a) 0.05 (b) 0.01?

$$M_{A} = [190 \ S_{A} = 90]$$

$$n_{\rm B} = 75$$
  $\mu_{\rm B} = 1230$   $S_{\rm B} = 120$ 

D= B-A  

$$M_0 = 40$$
 $S_0^2 = \frac{90^2}{100} + \frac{120^2}{75} = 273$ 
 $S_0 = \sqrt{273}$ 

$$H_0. D = 0$$
  
$$H_1: D \neq 0$$

$$Z = \frac{40 - 0}{\sqrt{273}} = 2.42 =) p = 2 \times 0.0078 = 0.0156$$

(a) at 2=0.05, reject Ho (b) at ~=0.01, fail to reject

# DISTRIBUTION-FREE tests

- ) Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test
- 2) Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test
- 3) Chi-Squared Test

#### wilcoxon signed rank test

- · Small sample size, symmetric
- 6.4. The nickel content, in parts per thousand by weight, is measured for six welds. The results are 9.3, 0.9, 9.0, 21.7, 11.5, and 13.9. Let μ represent the mean nickel content for this type of weld. It is desired to test

 $\begin{array}{l} H_{0}: \mu \geq 12 \\ H_{1}: \mu < 12 \end{array}$ 

n=6

1=6		signed	ranks	
×	X-12	Rank		
9.3	-2.7	-3	S <sub>+</sub> = 7	
9.0	-3	-6 -4	p-value: P	(S, <7)
21.7	9.7	Ś	when Ho	is true
11.5 13.9	-0.5 1.9	-1	n=6	
PCS+ < 4	)= 0.1094	=) P(s	+<7) > 0.10	94
	.ann	ot rejed	- Ho	

TABLE A.5 Critical points for the Wilcoxon signed-rank test

ł	1,:	ζ			α	lh.					α				
	1					s <sub>low</sub>					s <sub>up</sub>				
n	<b>S</b> low	<b>S</b> up	$\alpha$	n	<b>S</b> low	<b>S</b> up	α	n	<b>S</b> low	<b>S</b> up	α	n	<b>S</b> low	<b>S</b> up	α
4	1	9	0.1250	10	15	40	0.1162		12	79	0.0085		35	118	0.0253
	0	10	0.0625		14	41	0.0967		10	81	0.0052		34	119	0.0224
5	3	12	0 1562		11	44	0.0527		9	82	0.0040		28	125	0.0101
0	2	13	0.0938		10	45	0.0420	14	32	73	0.1083		27	126	0.0087
	1	14	0.0625		9	46	0.0322	1.	31	74	0.0969		24	129	0.0055
	Ô	15	0.0312		8	47	0.0244		26	79	0.0520		23	130	0.0047
6	Ô	17	0.1004		6	49	0.0137		25	80	0.0453	18	56	115	0 1061
$\mathbf{O}$	•	17	0.1094		5	50	0.0098		22	83	0.0290	10	55	116	0.0982
	2	18	0.0781		4	51	0.0068		21	84	0.0247		48	123	0.0542
	1	20	0.0409		3	52	0.0049		16	89	0.0101		47	124	0.0494
	0	20	0.0512	11	18	48	0.1030		15	90	0.0083		41	130	0.0269
-	0	21	0.0150		17	49	0.0874		13	92	0.0054		40	131	0.0241
1	6	22	0.1094		14	52	0.0508		12	93	0.0043		33	138	0.0104
	2	23	0.0781		13	53	0.0415	15	37	83	0 1039		32	139	0.0091
	4	24	0.0547		11	55	0.0269	15	36	84	0.0938		28	143	0.0052
	3	25	0.0391		10	56	0.0210		31	89	0.0535		27	144	0.0045
	2	26	0.0234		8	58	0.0122		30	90	0.0473	10	62	127	0 1051
	1	27	0.0150		7	59	0.0093		26	94	0.0277	19	62	127	0.1031
	0	28	0.0078		6	60	0.0068		25	95	0.0240		54	120	0.0578
8	9	27	0.1250		5	61	0.0049		20	100	0.0108		53	137	0.0321
	8	28	0.0977	12	22	56	0 1018		19	101	0.0090		47	143	0.0273
	6	30	0.0547	12	21	57	0.0881		16	104	0.0051		46	144	0.0247
	5	31	0.0391		18	60	0.0549		15	105	0.0042		38	152	0.0102
	4	32	0.0273		17	61	0.0461	16	43	93	0 1057		37	153	0.0090
	3	33	0.0195		14	64	0.0261	10	42	94	0.0964		33	157	0.0054
	2	34	0.0117		13	65	0.0212		36	100	0.0523		32	158	0.0047
	1	35	0.0078		10	68	0.0105		35	101	0.0467	20	70	140	0 1012
	0	30	0.0039		9	69	0.0081		30	106	0.0253	20	70	140	0.1012
9	11	34	0.1016		8	70	0.0061		29	107	0.0222		69	141	0.0947
	10	35	0.0820		7	71	0.0046		24	112	0.0107		60	149	0.0327
	9	36	0.0645	10	27	<i>c</i> <b>1</b>	0.1000		23	113	0.0091		53	150	0.0467
	8	37	0.0488	13	27	64	0.1082		20	116	0.0055		53	159	0.0200
	6	39	0.0273		26	65	0.0955		19	117	0.0046		32	156	0.0242
	5	40	0.0195		22	09	0.0549	17	49	104	0 1034		43	167	0.0006
	4	41	0.0137		21	70	0.04/1	17	48	105	0.0950		38	172	0.0053
	3	42	0.0098		18	73	0.0287		42	111	0.0544		37	173	0.0047
	2	43	0.0059		13	78	0.0239		41	112	0.0492				5.00.1

For n > 20, compute  $z = \frac{S_+ - n(n+1)/4}{\sqrt{n(n+1)(2n+1)/24}}$  and use the z table (Table A.2).

δ9. The nickel content, in parts per thousand by weight, is measured for six welds. The results are 9.3, 0.9, 9.0, 21.7, 11.5, and 13.9. Let μ represent the mean nickel content for this type of weld. It is desired to test

X	X-5	Signed Ra	nt
9.3	4.3	3	
0.9	-4.1	-2	Sr = 19
9.0	4.0		
21.7	16.7	6	P(S+ >19)
11.5	6.5	મ	
13.9	8.9	5	

P = 0.0469

δ<sub>10</sub>. The nickel content, in parts per thousand by weight, is measured for six welds. The results are 9.3, 0.9, 9.0, 21.7, 11.5, and 13.9. Let μ represent the mean nickel content for this type of weld. It is desired to test

Ho: M =16 Hi: M ≠16

x	X-16	signed Rank					
9.3	-6.7	° -4					
0.9	-15.1	-6	St = 3		P(S	+ = 3	5)
9.0	-7	-5	•				
21.7	s.7	3	=	2×0	.078	<b>.</b> 1	
11.5	-4.5	-2	-	0.15	62	> 0	.05
13.9	-2.1	-1		don	not 7	rejec	+

### <u>Special Cases</u>

- 1) In case of tied ranks, all get average
- 2) In case difference =0, drop observation and reduce sample size by 1
- 3) If n>20, normal distribution

$$z = \frac{s_{t} - \frac{n(n+1)}{4}}{\frac{(n+1)(2n+1)}{24}}$$

#### wilcoxon rank sum test -

- Mann-Whitney test
- · Two samples from two different populations, same shape
- Let X1, X2... Xm be smaller sample size and Y1, Y2... Yn be the larger sample size (m ≤ n)
- · Values from both are ordered and ranked from 1,2,...,m+n
- · Test statistic W= sum of ranks corresponding to x1, x2...xm

**&...** Resistances, in m, are measured for five wires of one type and six wires of another type. The results are as follows:

X: 36, 28, 29, 20, 38 Y: 34, 41, 35, 47, 49, 46

Test  $H_0: \mu_X \ge \mu_y$  $H_1: \mu_X \le \mu_y$ 

Value	Population	Rank	
20	· 🚫	(1)	
26	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	2	W= 19
29	$\overline{\langle X \rangle}$	(3)	
34	Y	4	P(W<19)
35	У	5	m= 5
36	$(\hat{\mathbf{x}})$	(d)	n=6
38	X	$(\tilde{1})$	
41	У	8	P=0.0260
46	У	9	
47	Y	10	for 2 = 0.05,
49	Ŷ	Ŋ	significance

Reject Ho

#### TABLE A.6 Critical points for the Wilcoxon rank-sum test



m	n	Wlow	<b>W</b> up	$\alpha$	m	n	Wlow	<b>W</b> up	$\alpha$	m	n	Wlow	<b>W</b> up	lpha	m	n	Wlow	<b>W</b> up	α
2	5	4	12	0.0952			11	29	0.0159		7	22	43	0.0530			30	60	0.0296
		3	13	0.0476			10	30	0.0079			21	44	0.0366			29	61	0.0213
	6	4	14	0.0714		6	14	30	0.0571			20	45	0.0240			28	62	0.0147
		3	15	0.0357			13	31	0.0333			19	46	0.0152			27	63	0.0100
	7	4	16	0.0556			12	32	0.0190			18	47	0.0088			26	64	0.0063
		3	17	0.0278			11	33	0.0095			17	48	0.0051			25	65	0.0040
	8	5	17	0.0889			10	34	0.0048			16	49	0.0025	7	7	40	65	0.0641
		4	18	0.0444		7	15	33	0.0545		8	24	46	0.0637	/	/	40	05	0.0041
		3	19	0.0222			14	34	0.0364			23	47	0.0466			39	60	0.0487
2	4	7	17	0.0571			13	35	0.0212			22	48	0.0326			36	60	0.0205
5	4	6	19	0.0371			12	36	0.0121			21	49	0.0225			35	70	0.0131
	5	8	10	0.0280			11	37	0.0061			20	50	0.0148			34	70	0.0131
	5	7	20	0.0357			10	38	0.0030			19	51	0.0093			33	72	0.0055
		6	21	0.0179		8	16	36	0.0545			18	52	0.0054			32	73	0.0035
	6	9	21	0.0833			15	37	0.0364			17	53	0.0031		8	42	70	0.0603
	0	8	22	0.0035			14	38	0.0242							0	41	71	0.0469
		7	23	0.0238			13	39	0.0141	6	6	29	49	0.0660			39	73	0.0270
	7	9	24	0.0583			12	40	0.0081			28	50	0.0465			38	74	0.0200
		8	25	0.0333			11	41	0.0040			27	51	0.0325			36	76	0.0103
		7	26	0.0167	D	5	20	25	0.0754			26	52	0.0206			35	77	0.0070
		6	27	0.0083	V	Э	20	33	0.0754			25	53	0.0130			34	78	0.0047
	8	10	26	0.0667			19	27	0.0470			24	54	0.0076					
		9	27	0.0424			10	38	0.0278		7	23	55	0.0043	8	8	52	84	0.0524
		8	28	0.0242			17	20	0.0139		1	30	54	0.0507			51	85	0.0415
		7	29	0.0121			10	39	0.0079			29	55	0.0367			50	86	0.0325
		6	30	0.0061		$\square$	21	20	0.0040			28	56	0.0256			49	87	0.0249
			~ .			U	21	39	0.0028			27	57	0.0175			46	90	0.0103
4	4	12	24	0.0571			20	40	0.0411			26	58	0.0111			45	91	0.0074
		11	25	0.0286				41	0.0200			25	59	0.0070			44	92	0.0052
	F	10	26	0.0143			18	42	0.0152		0	24	60	0.0041			43	93	0.0035
	2	13	27	0.0556			1/	45	0.0087		8	32	58	0.0539					
		12	28	0.0317			16	44	0.0043			31	59	0.0406					

When *m* and *n* are both greater than 8, compute  $z = \frac{W - m(m+n+1)/2}{\sqrt{mn(m+n+1)/12}}$  and use the *z* table (Table A.2).

## Special cases

## i) If m, n>8 normal distribution

$$W - \underline{m(m+n+1)} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\frac{mn(m+n+1)}{12}}}$$

#### - chi squared test

- $\chi^2$  test,  $\chi^2$  statistic
- · Expected vs observed frequencies
- · Multinomial trials, etc
- · For k no. of outcomes

$$\chi_{i=1}^{2} \stackrel{k_{i}}{\underset{k=1}{\overset{k_{i}}{=}}} \frac{(o_{i} - E_{i})^{2}}{E_{i}}$$

0; :observed E;:expected k-1: degrees of freedom Q12. A gambler rolls a die 600 times and the results obtained are as shown in the table

Category	Frequency
	115
2	97
3	91
4	101
5	110
6	86

 $H_0: p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = p_4 = p_5 = p_6 = \frac{1}{6}$  $H_1: unequal$ 

Category	Observed	Expected	Di - Ei
	115	100	15
2	97	(00	-3
3	91	100	-9
4	101	100	l
5	10	100	lo
6	86	601	(4

K=6

 $\chi^{2}_{k-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(O_{i} - E_{i})^{2}}{E_{i}}$ 

 $\chi_{5}^{2} = 6.12$ 

lies between 10% and 90%.

.: no evidence to suggest unfairness

#### TABLE A.7 Upper percentage points for the $\chi^2$ distribution

df



1					0	ĸ				
Ŵ	0.995	0.99	0.975	0.95	0.90	0.10	0.05	0.025	0.01	0.005
1	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.004	0.016	2.706	3.841	5.024	6.635	7.879
2	0.010	0.020	0.051	0.103	0.211	4.605	5.991	7.378	9.210	10.597
3	0.072	0.115	0.216	0.352	0.584	6.251	7.815	9.348	11.345	12.838
4	0.207	0.297	0.484	0.711	1.064	7.779	9.488	11.143	13.277	14.860
(5)	0.412	0.554	0.831	1.145	1.610	9.236	11.070	12.833	15.086	16.750
6	0.676	0.872	1.237	1.635	2.204	10.645	12.592	14.449	16.812	18.548
7	0.989	1.239	1.690	2.167	2.833	12.017	14.067	16.013	18.475	20.278
8	1.344	1.646	2.180	2.733	3.490	13.362	15.507	17.535	20.090	21.955
9	1.735	2.088	2.700	3.325	4.168	14.684	16.919	19.023	21.666	23.589
10	2.156	2.558	3.247	3.940	4.865	15.987	18.307	20.483	23.209	25.188
11	2.603	3.053	3.816	4.575	5.578	17.275	19.675	21.920	24.725	26.757
12	3.074	3.571	4.404	5.226	6.304	18.549	21.026	23.337	26.217	28.300
13	3.565	4.107	5.009	5.892	7.042	19.812	22.362	24.736	27.688	29.819
14	4.075	4.660	5.629	6.571	7.790	21.064	23.685	26.119	29.141	31.319
15	4.601	5.229	6.262	7.261	8.547	22.307	24.996	27.488	30.578	32.801
16	5 142	5 812	6 908	7 962	9312	23 542	26 296	28 845	32 000	34 267
17	5 697	6 408	7 564	8 672	10.085	23.342	27 587	30 191	33 409	35 718
18	6 265	7.015	8 231	9 390	10.865	25 989	28.869	31 526	34 805	37 156
19	6 844	7 633	8 907	10 117	11.651	27 204	30 144	32.852	36 191	38 582
20	7.434	8.260	9.591	10.851	12.443	28.412	31.410	34.170	37.566	39.997
21	8.034	8.897	10.283	11.591	13.240	29.615	32.671	35.479	38.932	41.401
22	8.643	9.542	10.982	12.338	14.041	30.813	33.924	36.781	40.289	42.796
23	9.260	10.196	11.689	13.091	14.848	32.007	35.172	38.076	41.638	44.181
24	9.886	10.856	12.401	13.848	15.659	33.196	36.415	39.364	42.980	45.559
25	10.520	11.524	13.120	14.611	16.473	34.382	37.652	40.646	44.314	46.928
26	11.160	12.198	13.844	15.379	17.292	35.563	38.885	41.923	45.642	48.290
27	11.808	12.879	14.573	16.151	18.114	36.741	40.113	43.195	46.963	49.645
28	12.461	13.565	15.308	16.928	18.939	37.916	41.337	44.461	48.278	50.993
29	13.121	14.256	16.047	17.708	19.768	39.087	42.557	45.722	49.588	52.336
30	13.787	14.953	16.791	18.493	20.599	40.256	43.773	46.979	50.892	53.672
31	14.458	15 655	17.539	19.281	21.434	41 422	44.985	48.232	52,191	55 003
32	15.134	16.362	18.291	20.072	22.271	42.585	46.194	49.480	53,486	56.328
33	15.815	17.074	19.047	20.867	23.110	43.745	47.400	50.725	54.776	57.648
34	16.501	17.789	19.806	21.664	23.952	44.903	48.602	51.966	56.061	58.964
35	17.192	18.509	20.569	22.465	24.797	46.059	49.802	53.203	57.342	60.275
36	17.887	19.233	21.336	23.269	25.643	47.212	50.998	54.437	58.619	61.581
37	18.586	19.960	22.106	24.075	26.492	48.363	52.192	55.668	59.893	62.883
38	19.289	20.691	22.878	24.884	27.343	49.513	53.384	56.896	61.162	64.181
39	19.996	21.426	23.654	25.695	28.196	50.660	54.572	58.120	62.428	65.476
40	20.707	22.164	24.433	26.509	29.051	51.805	55.758	59.342	63.691	66.766

For  $\nu > 40$ ,  $\chi^2_{\nu,\alpha} \approx 0.5(z_{\alpha} + \sqrt{2\nu - 1})^2$ .

· Contingency table

$$\chi_{(i-1)(j-1)} = \sum_{i=1}^{J} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{(o_{ij} - \varepsilon_{ij})^{2}}{\varepsilon_{ij}}$$

Als The article "Chronic Beryllium Disease and Sensitization at a Beryllium Processing Facility" (K. Rosenman, V. Hertzberg, et al., Environmental Health Perspectives, 2005:1366–1372) discusses the effects of exposure to beryllium in a cohort of workers. Workers were categorized by their duration of exposure (in years) and by their disease status (chronic beryllium disease, sensitization to beryllium, or no disease). The results were as follows:

	Duration of Exposure		
	41	1 to <5	≥≲
Diseased	10	8	23
Sensitised	9	19	11
Normal	70	(36	206

Can you conclude that the proportions of workers in the various disease categories differ among exposure levels?

Observed	Duration of Exposure			
	<1	1 to <5	≥۵	
Diseased	10	8	23	41
Sensitised	9	19	1	39
Normal	70	(36	201	412
	89	163	240	492

 $k = 2x^2 = 4 df$ 

## Expected

•	Duration of Exposure				
	<1	1 to <5	≥≲		
Diseased	7.42	13.58	20	41	
Sensitised	7.05	12.92	19.02	39	
Normal	74.53	136.50	200.98	412	
	89	163	240	492	

K=4

 $\chi^2 = 3.64 + 6.78 + 0.40 = (0.82)$ 

between p=0.05 and p=0.025

at L = 0.05, can conclude proportions differ

errorg

