

feedback/corrections: vibha @ pesu.pes.edu

<u>Vibha Masti</u>



- Test assumptions regarding population parameters and assess the plausibilities of those hypotheses
- ° Hypothesis testing tests the plausibility of <sup>a</sup> given null hypothesis (assumed to be true) and decides whether or not the hull should be rejected
- Alternate hypothesis is a proposed hypothesis which is taken to be true when the null is rejected
- ° Ho : null hypothesis - sample observations by chance H<sub>1</sub>: alternate hypothesis - influenced by non-random cause
- ° Hypothesis testing produces a number between <sup>0</sup> and <sup>I</sup>
- Methods
	- <sup>D</sup> Rejection region find critical point
	- 2) P-value calculate p-value
- ° Assume Ho is true and find <sup>p</sup>-value of test statistic. Use p - value as strength of evidence against Ho .

p-value is probability that test statistic falls in that range given that Ho is true





8u. A scale is to be calibrated by weighing a 1000 g test weight 60 times. The 60 scale readings have mean 1000.6 g and standard deviation 2 g. Find the P-value for testing

$$
H_0: \mu = 1000 \text{ vs } H_1: \mu \neq 1000, \alpha > 0.05
$$
\n
$$
n = 60 \text{ s} = 2 \text{ s} = \frac{3}{10} = 0.3582
$$
\n
$$
n = 2.5 \text{ core} = 1000.6 - 1000 = 2.332
$$
\n
$$
0.3582
$$
\n
$$
0.3582
$$
\n
$$
0.3582
$$
\n
$$
0.3582
$$
\n
$$
p-value = 2 \times (1 - 0.9898) = 0.0204 < 0.0204
$$

Reject Ho.

**Q<sub>2</sub>.** The mean lifetime of a sample of 100 fluorescent light bulbs produced by a company is computed to be 1570 hours with a standard deviation of 120 hours.

If  $\mu$  is the life time of all the bulbs produced by the company<br>test the bunethesis  $\mu = 4600$  excient the elternate bunethesis test the hypothesis  $\mu$  = 1600 against the alternate hypothesis  $M \neq 1600$ 

$$
\alpha = 0.05
$$

 $\mu$ = 1570  $s = 120$  $n = 100$  $100$   $\frac{s}{n}$  = 12  $H_0$ :  $\mu$  = 1600  $H_1: \mu \neq 1600$  $Z=$   $\frac{1570-1600}{12}$  = - $12$  $2.5$ 2. $\mathcal{S}$ area = 0.0062

p-Value <sup>=</sup> 2×0.0062  $= 0.0124$ 

x> <sup>p</sup>-value

i. we reject Ho

**Q<sub>3</sub>.** A trucking firm is suspicious of the claim that the average lifetime of certain tires is at least 28,000 miles. To check the claim, the firm puts 40 of these tires on its trucks and gets a mean lifetime of 27,463 with a standard deviation 1,348 miles. Find the P value for testing  $H_0$ :  $\mu$  228000 miles  $H_1: \mu < 28000$  miles<br>27463 S = 1348 S = 1348 n=40 | x =  $\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{1348}{\sqrt{40}} = 213.1375$ 

> 2 = 27463-28000 = -2.52  $213.1375$

> > $P(Z < -2.52) = 0.0059 = 0.59$

 $P$  < <  $\approx$   $\Rightarrow$  reject Ho

Statistically SIGNIFICANT

- · If p-value is less than a particular threshold, it is said to be statistically significant at that level
- . If P s 0.05, result is statistically significant at the 5% level and null hypothesis is rejected

04 Mice with an average life span of 32 months will live up to 40 months when fed by a certain nutrious food.

If 64 mice fed on this diet have an average life span of 38 months and standard deviation of 5.8 months.

تن د

Is there any reason to believe that the average life span is less than 40 months?

n=64 x=38 s=5.8 
$$
\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{s.s}{\frac{8}{6}} = 0.725
$$
  
\nH<sub>0</sub>:  $\mu \ge 40$   
\nH<sub>1</sub>:  $\mu$  440  
\n2=38-40 = -2.76  
\n0.725  
\np= 0.0024 = 0.29-/-  
\nStafistically sig at 1/- level  
\n∴ reject H<sub>0</sub>

8s. The article "Refinement of Gravimetric Geoid Using GPS and Leveling Data" (W. Thurston, Journal of Surveying Engineering, 2000:27–56) presents a method for measuring orthometric heights above sea level. For a sample of 1225 baselines, 926 gave results that were within the class C spirit leveling tolerance limits.

Can we conclude that this method produces results within the tolerance limits more than 75% of the time?



If in a random sample of 600 cars making a right turn at a certain 06. traffic junction 157 drove into the wrong lane, test whether actually 30% of all drivers make this mistake or not at this given junction.



Q7. In a random sample of 100 tube lights produced by company A, the mean lifetime (mlt) of tube light is 1190 hours with standard deviation of 90 hours.

Also in a random sample of 75 tube lights from company B the mean lifetime is 1230 hours with standard deviation of 120 hours.

Is there a difference between the mean lifetime of the two brands of tube lights at a significance level of (a) 0.05 (b) 0.01?  $n = 100$   $M_{\text{A}} = 1190$   $S_{\text{A}} = 90$ 

$$
n_B = 75
$$
  $\mu_B = 1230$   $s_B = 120$ 

D= B-A  
\n
$$
M_0 = 40
$$
  $S_b^2 = \frac{q_0^2}{100} + \frac{120^2}{75} = 273$   
\n $S_b = \sqrt{273}$ 

$$
D \sim N(40, 273)
$$

$$
H_0. D = 0
$$
  

$$
H_1: D \neq 0
$$

$$
z = \frac{40 - 0}{\sqrt{273}} = 2.42 \Rightarrow p = 2 \times 0.0078
$$
  
= 0.0156

 $\frac{1}{2}$  at 2=0.05, reject Ho (b) at 2=0.01,  $(b)$  at  $\alpha > 0.01$ , fail to reject

# DISTRIBUTION-FREE tests

- 1) Wilcoxon signed Rank Test
- 2) Wilcoxon Rank Sum test
- 3) Chi Squared Test

#### Wilcoxon signed rank test

- small sample size , symmetric
- 8. The nickel content, in parts per thousand by weight, is measured for six welds. The results are 9.3, 0.9, 9.0, 21.7, 11.5, and 13.9. Let µ represent the mean nickel content for this type of weld. It is desired to test

$$
H_{1} : \mu \le 12
$$
\n
$$
H_{1} : \mu \le 12
$$
\n
$$
=6
$$
\nsigned ranks\n
$$
X = 12
$$
\n
$$
= 4.3
$$
\n
$$
= 2.7
$$
\n
$$
= 3
$$
\n
$$
= 4
$$
\n
$$
= 4.9
$$
\n
$$
= 0.5
$$
\n
$$
= 1
$$
\n
$$
= 13.9
$$
\n
$$
= 0.1094 \Rightarrow PCS_{+} < 7
$$
\n
$$
= 0.1094
$$
\n
$$
= 2.7 \text{ when H}_0 \text{ is true}
$$
\n
$$
= 0.5
$$
\n
$$
= 1
$$
\n
$$
= 0.5
$$
\n
$$
= 1
$$
\n
$$
= 13.9
$$
\n
$$
= 0.1094 \Rightarrow PCS_{+} < 7
$$
\n
$$
= 0.1094
$$
\n
$$
=
$$





For  $n > 20$ , compute  $z = \frac{S_+ - n(n+1)/4}{\sqrt{n(n+1)(2n+1)/24}}$  and use the *z* table (Table A.2).

The nickel content, in parts per thousand by weight, is measured for six welds. The results are 9.3, 0.9, 9.0, 21.7, 11.5, and 13.9. Let µ represent the mean nickel content for this type of weld. It is desired to test 09.

$$
H_0: \mu S S
$$
\n
$$
H_1: \mu > S
$$
\n
$$
R > 6
$$



 $P = 0.0469$ 

i. at 2=0.05 , we can reject Ho

**810.** The nickel content, in parts per thousand by weight, is measured for six welds. The results are 9.3, 0.9, 9.0, 21.7, 11.5, and 13.9. Let µ represent the mean nickel content for this type of weld. It is desired to test

> $H_0$ :  $\mu$  =16  $H_i$ :  $M \neq 16$



### Special cases

- 1) In case of tied ranks , all get average
- 2) In case difference =0 , drop observation and reduce sample size by <sup>I</sup>
- $3)$  If  $n > 20$ , normal distribution

$$
z = \frac{S_{+} - \frac{n(n+1)}{4}}{\sqrt{\frac{(n+1)(2n+1)}{24}}}
$$

#### Wilcoxon rank sum test

- Mann-Whitney test
- Two samples from two different populations , same shape
- Let  $X_1, X_2...X_m$  be smaller sample size and  $Y_1, Y_2...Y_n$ be the larger sample size  $(m \le n)$
- ° Values from both are ordered and ranked from 1,2, . , Mtn
- $\cdot$  Test statistic W= sum of ranks corresponding to  $x_1, x_2 ... x_m$

Resistances, in m, are measured for five wires of one type and six wires of another type. The results are as follows:  $Q_{11}$ .

> <sup>X</sup> : 36,28 , <sup>29</sup> , 20,38 y : 34,41,35, 47,49,46

Test  $H_0: \mu_{\kappa} \ge \mu_{\gamma}$ Hi:Mxcµy



Reject Ho

#### **TABLE A.6** Critical points for the Wilcoxon rank-sum test





When *m* and *n* are both greater than 8, compute  $z = \frac{W - m(m+n+1)/2}{\sqrt{mn(m+n+1)/12}}$  and use the *z* table (Table A.2).

#### Special cases

## i) If m,n >8 normal distribution

$$
z = \frac{w - \frac{m(m+n+1)}{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{mn(m+n+1)}{12}}}
$$

#### $-$  chisquared  $\pm$  est

- $\cdot x^2$  test,  $x^2$  statistic
- · Expected vs observed frequencies
- . Multinomial trials, etc
- . For k no. of outcomes

$$
\chi_{\epsilon}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{2}} \frac{(0_{i}-\epsilon_{i})^{2}}{\epsilon_{i}}
$$

 $o_i$ : observed Ei :expected<br>K-1 : degrees of freedom Q<sub>12</sub>. A gambler rolls a die 600 times and the results obtained are as shown in the table



 $H_0: p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = p_4 = p_5 = p_6 = 1$ <br> $H_1:$  unequal



 $k = 6$ 



 $x_{5}^{2} = 6.12$ 

lies between 10% and 90%.

: no evidence to suggest unfairness

#### **TABLE A.7** Upper percentage points for the  $\chi^2$  distribution

 $d f$ 





For  $\nu > 40$ ,  $\chi^2_{\nu,\alpha} \approx 0.5(z_{\alpha} + \sqrt{2\nu - 1})^2$ .

° Contingency table

$$
\chi_{(i-1)(j-1)}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^1 \sum_{j=1}^J \frac{(o_{ij} - \varepsilon_{ij})^2}{\varepsilon_{ij}}
$$

The article "Chronic Beryllium Disease and Sensitization at a 013. Beryllium Processing Facility" (K. Rosenman, V. Hertzberg, et al., Environmental Health Perspectives, 2005:1366–1372) discusses the effects of exposure to beryllium in a cohort of workers. Workers were categorized by their duration of exposure (in years) and by their disease status (chronic beryllium disease, sensitization to beryllium, or no disease). The results were as follows:



Can you conclude that the proportions of workers in the various disease categories differ among exposure levels?



 $k = 2k2 = 4 d$ 

## Expected



 $k=4$ 

 $\chi^2$  = 3.64 + 6.78 + 0.40 = 10.82

between p=0.05 and p=0.025

at L = 0.05, can conclude proportions differ

errosy

